



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/706,410

11/12/2003

Jerry Joe Wolfe JR.

TRIPLE.000010

6794

42640

7590

05/02/2008

DILLON & YUDELL LLP  
8911 NORTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY  
SUITE 2110  
AUSTIN, TX 78759

EXAMINER

SMITH, KIMBERLY S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3644

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/706,410	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WOLFE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kimberly S. Smith	<b>Art Unit</b> 3644	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kimberly S. Smith. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brian Russel. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shatoff and Rucker.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview was mainly directed to clarifying the rejection of record in response to the Shatoff reference. The third opening listed as 668 in the rejection was not shown in the Figure 11 but was discussed in paragraph [0080] in the specification of Shatoff. Further clarification regarding the manner in which the apparatus of Shatoff was designed to function and the combination of the teaching of Rucker was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kimberly S Smith/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3644

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required